GeCIP Detailed Research Plan Form

Background

The Genomics England Clinical Interpretation Partnership (GeCIP) brings together researchers, clinicians and trainees from both academia and the NHS to analyse, refine and make new discoveries from the data from the 100,000 Genomes Project.

The aims of the partnerships are:

- 1. To optimise:
- clinical data and sample collection
- clinical reporting
- data validation and interpretation.

2. To improve understanding of the implications of genomic findings and improve the accuracy and reliability of information fed back to patients. To add to knowledge of the genetic basis of disease.

3. To provide a sustainable thriving training environment.

The initial wave of GeCIP domains was announced in June 2015 following a first round of applications with expressions of interest in January 2015. In April 2016 we invited the inaugurated Cancer GeCIP domains to develop research plans for 'Gear 2' working closely with Genomics England. Within the Cancer Main Programme, the 'Gear 2' phase of the project refers to recruitment of specific cohorts of patients, inclusion of biopsy tissue (diagnostic/recurrence) and ctDNA in selected cohorts and the initiation of clinical trials in early stage (adjuvant/consolidation) setting. These will be used to ensure that the plans are complimentary and add real value across the GeCIP portfolio and address the aims and objectives of the 100,000 Genomes Project. They will be shared with the MRC, Wellcome Trust, NIHR and Cancer Research UK as existing members of the GeCIP Board to give advance warning and manage funding requests to maximise the funds available to each domain. However, formal applications will then be required to be submitted to individual funders. They will allow Genomics England to plan shared core analyses and the required research and computing infrastructure to support the proposed research. They will also form the basis of assessment by the Project's Access Review Committee, to permit access to data.

Domain leads are asked to complete all relevant sections of the GeCIP Detailed Research Plan Form, ensuring that you provide names of domain members involved in each aspect so we or funders can see who to approach if there are specific questions or feedback and that you provide details if your plan relies on a third party or commercial entity. You may also attach additional supporting documents as relevant (optional).

Additional members can apply to join the GeCIP domain by completing the form on our website found here: <u>http://www.genomicsengland.co.uk/join-a-gecip-domain/</u>.

Genomics England Clinical Interpretation Partnership (GeCIP) Detailed Research Plan Form

Application Summary GeCIP domain name Upper gastrointestinal cancer		
Project title Upper gastrointestinal cancer research in the 100,000 Genor		
(max 150 characters)	Project	
	objectives of your research. (max 200 words)	
Objectives. Set out the key	objectives of your research. (max 200 words)	
 To determine the feasibility of analysing biopsy material from a range of Upper gastrointestinal (UGI) cancers. Currently the GeCIP material requirement for DNA would exclude that available from single biopsies. This proposal could be limited to resection specimens, some of which would have received neoadjuvant treatment. This bid would therefore permit a strategy of material harvest beyond biopsy in order to obtain samples yielding robust data. Describe the mutational and other "omic" landscape of UGI cancer To reclassify UGI cancer within and between classical anatomical sites To collaborate with multiple prospective clinical and translational programmes extant and planned in the UGI portfolio, in particular, cross cutting programmes such as prospective 		
lifestyle analyses.	from this summary may be displayed on a public facing website.	
	y of your planned research. (max 200 words)	
Upper gastrointestinal (UGI) cancer is caused by uncontrolled cell growth in the various organs of the upper gastrointestinal tract (commonly the oesophagus, stomach, pancreas, liver, and gallbladder). There are approximately 41,304 UGI cancers diagnosed and 37,736 deaths in the UK per annum. The majority of patients are only identified when they already have advanced disease and carrying out surgery on those whose cancer is suitable for it, can often be challenging. Other treatments, such as the use of various different drugs, have improved outcome but the benefit is negligible.		
UGI cancers comprise a number of different conditions that have been grouped by virtue of anatomy and surgical approach. This leads to a mixture of different types of cancer, and therefore to a wide range of research in the field. The overall aim of the Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer GeCIP Domain is to use the data resulting from the 100,000 Genomes Project to describe the landscape of UGI cancer and to allow improved understanding and treatment.		

Expected start date	Q2 2017
Expected end date	Q2 2020

Lead Applicant(s)	
Name	Prof John Bridgewater
Post	Consultant and Senior Lecturer in Medical Oncology
Department	
Institution	University College Hospital
Current commercial links	

Deputy Lead Applicant(s)	
Name	Prof Rebecca Fitzgerald

Post	MRC Programme Leader (tenure) at the MRC Cancer Unit, and an Honorary Consultant in Gastroenterology and General Medicine at Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge.
Department	
Institution	Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge
Current commercial links	

Gear 2 Substudies

GI01: Genomic description of patients receiving cisplatin gemcitabine and pembrolizumab on EORTC -1607-GITCG study

GI02: Genomic description of prognosis and chemosensitivity in resected biliary tract cancer

GI03: Genomic description of prognosis and chemosensitivity in resected biliary tract cancer

GI04: Genomic description of prognosis and chemosensitivity in resected biliary tract cancer GI05: Evolving genomic landscape as a determinant of the selective therapeutic response of pancreatic cancer.

GI06: Investigating role of radiotherapy in the post-operative setting in gastric cancer

GI07: Investigating role of postoperative radiotherapy in extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder carcinoma (EHCC and GBCA)

GI08: Mutational evolution in resectable hepatocellular carcinoma

GI09: Mutational evolution in hepatocellular carcinoma

GI10: Mutational evolution in metastatic cholangiocarcinoma

GI11: Impact of therapy on molecular genetics of HCC

GI12: Genetics of high-grade neuroendocrine tumours pre and post chemotherapy.

GI13: Genetics of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours pre and post systemic therapy.

GI14: Investigation of circulating predictive biomarkers in gastric adenocarcinoma.

GI15: Mutational evolution in synchronous stage 4 metastatic colorectal cancer

GI16: Investigation of the genomic evolution and mechanisms of cisplatin resistance in gastric adenocarcinoma

GI17: Modelling the progression from infection and inflammation to gastric cancer

GI18: Gastric cancer profiling across space and time

GeCIP domain - Expression of interest

research and the steps required to mitigate these.

Full proposal		
Title (max 150 characters)		
Research plans. Give details of the analyses and experimental approaches, study designs and		
techniques that will be used and timelines for your analysis. Describe the major challenges of the		

1 Technical optimisation

- It is currently not clear whether tissue biopsies can be reliably utilised for DNA sequencing. The majority of patients with UGI cancer would not have surgery and their exclusion be a significant biological and clinical omission for the proposed programme. The default position would be to link material collection to the surgical studies in the portfolio and to closely monitored cohorts such as the hepatocellular carcinoma transplant population. These are detailed below.
- 2 Driver gene discovery and exploitation

Somatic driver gene identification will rely on statistical methods of assessing mutation overrepresentation in genes and pathways. These will be backed up by complementary analyses performed for Aim 3. These data will be linked with drug discovery programmes (academic and commercial) and the cross cutting themes to maximally exploit the dataset, clinical and molecular.

3 Complementary analyses

Further analyses outside genome sequencing will be planned, for instance:

- Serum and plasma for proteomics and metabolomics
- Cell free serum for circulating tumour DNA and to assess tumour recurrence
- Germ-line RNA for transcriptomics
- Lymphocyte DNA for epigenetics
- Tumour for RNA expression profiles, tumour epigenetics and proteomics
- Cancer cell lines for study or xenotransplantation cancer
- Skin biopsies for generation of inducible pluripotent stem cells
- 5. Clinical utility
- An infrastructure that will permit clinical utility of the result to an individual patient will be developed using the framework developed by academic clinical facilities such as UCL Advanced diagnostics. A clinical report will be generated which will include a review by a genomics panel to assess clinical relevance.
- 6. Cross-cutting analyses
- We will aim to leverage cross-cancer analyses with respect to the technology e.g. pan-cancer drivers, method comparisons, new analytical tools, collaboration with inherited cancers GeCIP) and pan-GeL analyses (e.g. population genetics, incidental findings) and also other disciplines (see lifestyle analyses below).
- 7. Molecular predictors of radiation resistance
- Using the prospective clinical data from radiation studies in the UGI portfolio (ABC-07, SCALOP-2, SCOPE-2) we will be able to examine the relationship between tumour genome and the efficacy of chemo-radiation.

Education and Training

To ensure long-term durability and success, we will train a new generation of geneticists, molecular pathologists, clinical bioinformaticians, statisticians and biologists. Trainees from these and other programmes participating in allied research projects will be invited to take part in the UGI domain and gain access to the data as appropriate. It is foreseen that opportunities will arise in the multiple host institutions to support science and clinically based fellowships and PhDs as well as European collaborative projects through, for instance, the European Society for medical Oncology infrastructure and funding and charity funding such as the Cholangiocarcinoma organisation. Key to these programmes will be the integration of multidisciplinary data.

Collaborations including with other GeCIPs. *Outline your major planned academic, healthcare, patient and industrial collaborations. This should include collaborations and data sharing with other GeCIPs. Please attach letters of support.*

Role	Experience
Domain leads	JB has lead the clinical research programme in the UK for biliary tract cancers, setting the
John Bridgewater	current standard of care for advanced disease and running a series of studies that are
j.bridgewater@ucl.ac.uk	establishing paradigms of care for biliary tract cancer. He chairs the hepatobiliary
	subgroup of the upper gastrointestinal clinical studies group (UGI CSG) and the
	internation biliary tract cancer collaborators group. He has been a member of the UGI
	CSG since 2002 and has multiple translational collaborations through the gastrointestinal
	portfolio. He is part of the colorectal domain GeCIP bid, specifically an embedded project
	to harvest material from patients with colorectal liver metastasis as part of a prospective
	study. He has had a research interest in carcinoma of unknown primary (CUP) which is

	represented in the hepatobiliary sub-group. He has also established a translational
	programme through a pan-study material collection (funded by CRUK 2014) and a genomic analysis of the ABC-03 series (data in preparation). He has enlisted the supoort of the AMMF (Cholangiocarcinoma user group) and the CUP foundation.
Domain deputy Rebecca Fitzgerald RCF29@MRC- CU.cam.ac.uk	RF works on the underlying clinical, genetic and cell environmental factors that lead to the conversion of a low-risk pre-malignant state into invasive cancer using new diagnostic tools that will identify those patients who are at an increased risk of developing can improve the molecular characterisation of oesophageal adenocarcinoma and identify novel approaches for tumour classification, monitoring and therapy. As such, she has published extensively on the genetics of oesophageal cancer and leads the OCCAMS programme, part of the International Cancer Gene Consortium (ICGC) that has recently puvblished on oesophgeal cancer. The intention is to combine the ICGC and GEL data to provide added value, in particular exploiting the clinical data and further proposed "omics" proposed by GEL.
GMC representative Manuel Rodriguez-Justo	He will also be supported by Manuel Rodriguez-Justo who leads the Genomics England programme at UCL. Manuel is the lead for the Genomics Medicine Centre and is part of the Genomics England pilot. He is also lead pathologist at UCL Advanced Diagnostics (UCLAD) which is the immunohistochemistry, in situ and molecular diagnostics service arm of the UCL-Cancer Institute and a bridge between the Cancer Institute and University College Hospitals NHS Trust (UCLH). It is also the host laboratory for United Kingdom National External Quality Assessment Service for fluorescence immunocytochemistry & in-situ hybridisation.
Patient representative Helen Morement	In 2002 Helen Morement founded AMMF – The Cholangiocarcinoma Charity. Registered with the Charity Commission, no 1091915, AMMF is the UK's only charity dedicated to cholangiocarcinoma. Together with a small team she worked to achieve AMMF's aims of raising the awareness of cholangiocarcinoma, encouraging and supporting research, and providing information and support to patients. Helen Morement acts as patient representative in the NCRI UGI HPB Clinical Trials sub group, the BTC Clinical Trials Management group and the International Biliary Tract Cancer Collaborators group. Helen Morement reviews patient clinical trials information, and information provided by larger, more generalized cancer charities.
	 As well as the AMMF, we have excellent links with: CUP foundation: John Symons is a member of the clinical studies group Oesophageal patients association (www.opa.org.uk) Pancreatic Cancer UK (www.pcuk.org.uk)
Education and Training lead Tim Underwood	If successful we will consult the user groups to develop the project. Timothy Underwood is a Gastro Oesophageal surgeon and MRC Clinician Scientist at the University of Southampton. His laboratory programme focuses on the tumour microenvironment. He is part of the steering committee and the leading surgeon-scientist in the ICGC/OCCAMS collaboration led from Cambridge and a co-author of the recent Nature Genetics papers describing the genetic landscape of oesophageal cancer. He is currently supervising several PhD students. He is a member of the NCRI Upper GI Oesophago-Gastric Sub-Group, a member of the AUGIS research committee and on the Upper GI TMG for the international MRC/CRUK/NIHR AddAspirin trial.
Validation and Feedback representative John Primrose	JP is Professor of Surgery at the University of Southampton with a clinical interest in hepatobiliary cancer. He is Director of the NIHR Hampshire and Isle of Wight Comprehensive Local Research Network and currently Chair of the National Cancer Research Institute Upper GI Clinical Studies Group. He is President of the Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland. As such he has experience of the greater research community and has worked closely with user groups in delivering clinical research. He is therefore perfectly placed to negotiate the transfer of information in a useable form to the patient.

Other domain	Pancreas
<u>members</u>	S Falk (Chair, clinical trials)
	S Mukherjee (Clinical oncology)
	A Biankin (Surgery, molecular pathology for the PrecisionPanc and TCGA programme)
	P Ghaneh (Surgery)
	P Corrie (clinical trials)
	J Valle (clinical trials)
	<u>Oesophagogastric</u>
	D Cunningham (Chair, clinical trials)
	T Crosby (Clinical oncology)
	R Fitzgerald (molecular genetics, on behalf of OCCAMS and TCGA programme)
	Hepatobiliary (Biliary, hepatocellular carcinoma, CUP)
	J Bridgewater (Chair, clinical trials)
	M Hawkins (Clinical oncology)
	T Meyer (medical oncology)
	J Valle (Clinical trials)
	J Primrose (Surgery)
	J Symons (CUP user group)
	H Morement (Cholangiocarcinoma user group)
	L Wood (Molecular pathology)
	B Teh (Molecular pathology)
	P Ross (Medical oncology)
	The Bin Tean (National Cancer Centre of Singapore on behalf of TCGA programme)
	NET
	J Valle (Chair)
	C Thirlwell (Molecular pathology)
	D Sarkar(clinical trials)
Potential internationa	I The Bin Tean (National Cancer Centre of Singapore on behalf of biliary tract TCGA
<u>collaborators</u>	programme). Laura Wood is translational pathologist at John's Hopkins University. It is
	intended to work closely with the ICGC collaborations to add value to the entire project.

- The OCCAMS and PrecisionPanc programmes have established an extant programme for the assembly of material prospectively in cancer patients. We will collaborate closely with these, ensuring the optimal use of parallel datasets.
- The proposed GIOTTO programme (resected colorectal liver metastasis, also colorectal GeCIP) aims to compare parallel datasets in lifestyle, genomics, circulating DNA and immunological environment prospectively.
- In collaboration with the Faculty of Population and Health Sciences at UCL, we will be examining the potential interaction between genomics and lifestyle (part of prospective data collection in parallel clinical studies.
- In collaboration with the UK HCC transplant programme, we propose to assemble resection material from all transplanted and resected patients within the UK.
- CUP is an area of unmet need for which an ICGC consortium is unlikely ever to be feasible. Molecular data are few and there is an opportunity to describe a significantly under investigated cancer. In collaboration with the CUP Foundation, we would propose a prospective programme to collect sufficient fresh tissue and material for parallel analysis.

If successful we will organise a series of meetings with pharma to formally engage their participation. Confidentiality agreements should permit the early commitment of pharma for compound development. Extant negotiations include the PrecisionPanc programme.

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)		
Title (max 150 characters)	GI01: Genomic description of patients receiving cisplatin gemcitabine and pembrolizumab on EORTC -1607-GITCG study.	
Cohort details and scientific case		
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Advanced biliary tract cancer consenting to	
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	sequential biopsy at diagnosis, 3 months and at	
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	progression	
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	Metastatic biopsy x3 (3 cores/biopsy)	
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)		
It is assumed that in addition there will be one		
germline sample per patient.		
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying		
proposed)		
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment		
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	Description of chemotherapy and	
this cohort of patients (brief)	immunotherapy on genomic landscape	
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	Description of cohort benefiting from	
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	combination treatment.	
	Relationship of genomic landscape to T cell	
	infiltrate, circulating T cell population, change	
	in population with treatment	
	Differential impact on anatomical subgroups	
	(Gall bladder vs hilar CCA vs peripheral CCA)	
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No	
turnaround-time for sample→clinical result?		
State necessary TAT		
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: Yes	
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes	
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	Yes	
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	T-Cell staining for subgroups	
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.		
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?		
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection	
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	70 (10 x germline, 30 x 75x)	
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it		
be proposed were used on this cohort?		
How many patients meeting this cohort	120 (based on ABC studies recruitment)	
eligibility present in England peryear?		
What number of patients of this cohort	This will be a selected cohort of 10 patients in	
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	EORTC -1607-GITCG study	
100KGP per year (factoring in non-consent,		
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical		
failure of samples)?		
Alignment to clinical trials	•	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	As above	

Detailed research plan – Cancer Main Programme Gear 2 studies

an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?	
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	
geographic recruitment, remuneration).	
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study	
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this	
cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)		
Title (max 150 characters)	GI02: Genomic description of prognosis and chemosensitivity in resected biliary tract cancer	
Cohort details and scientific case		
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Prospective CRUK funded adjuvant study ACTICCA-1	
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	Patients will be suitable for randomisation to surveillance or adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and gemcitabine following surgery. As	
<i>It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.</i>	such primary tumour with nodes will be available.	
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	Re-biopsy of patients with disease progression proposed.	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	ctDNA at standard follow up intervals to predict recurrence	
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	Define subgroups who benefit or not from adjuvant therapy. Define prognosis within these and following subgroups. Define genomic landscape of anatomical subgroups (gall bladder vs hilar CCA vs peripheral CCA) and their sensitivity/prognosis Identify molecular subgroups (e.g.HER-2 driven, IDH-1 related, BRCA driven). Identify longtitudinal variation in genomic landscape (biopsy on progression)	
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	ICGC data on biliary tract cancer (Singapore Mayo consortium ~60 genomes) soon to be available but not in the context of randomised treatment study. ICGC data will inform direction of interrogation. The proposed study will expand and further inform a currently neglected field.	
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	No	
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material?	At diagnosis: No Post diagnosis: Yes	
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in	Yes (at study entry, point 2 TBD by BILCAP	

this cohort?	outcome)
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	No
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.	
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment projection	
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	5/patient 100 patients
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	
be proposed were used on this cohort?	
How many patients meeting this cohort	70 (based on BILCAP recruitment figures)
eligibility present in England peryear?	
What number of patients of this cohort	35
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	
100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent,	
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical	
failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	As above
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?	
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	
geographic recruitment, remuneration).	
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study	
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this	
cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	Gl03: Genomic description of prognosis and chemosensitivity in resected biliary tract cancer
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Prospective studies in advanced disease on biliary tract NCRI portfolio
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites) It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	Patients will be suitable for palliative systemic treatment on a number of prospective studies: (Lilly Ramicirumab/Merestinib study, ABC-09 study, EORTC 1607 study)
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	Re-biopsy of patients with disease progression proposed.
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	ctDNA at standard follow up intervals to predict progression
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	Define subgroups who benefit or not from palliative therapy. Define prognosis within these and following subgroups. Define genomic landscape of anatomical subgroups (gall bladder vs hilar CCA vs peripheral CCA) and their sensitivity/prognosis

Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	Identify molecular subgroups (e.g.HER-2 driven, IDH-1 related, BRCA driven). Identify longtitudinal variation in genomic landscape (biopsy on progression) ICGC data on biliary tract cancer (Singapore Mayo consortium ~60 genomes) soon to be available but not in the context of randomised treatment study. ICGC data will inform direction of interrogation. The proposed study will expand and further inform a currently neglected field.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	Νο
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: No
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	Yes
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	Νο
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	3/patient 50 patients
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	70 (based on BILCAP recruitment figures)
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	35
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility? Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	As above

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI04: Genomic description of prognosis and
	chemosensitivity in resected biliary tract
	cancer

Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Prospective studies in advanced disease on
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	biliary tract NCRI portfolio
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	Patients will be suitable for palliative systemic
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	treatment on a number of prospective studies:
	(Lilly Ramicirumab/Merestinib study, ABC-09
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	study, EORTC 1607 study)
germline sample per patient.	
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	Re-biopsy of patients with disease progression
proposed)	proposed
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	ctDNA at standard follow up intervals to predict progression
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	Define subgroups who benefit or not from
this cohort of patients (brief)	palliative therapy.
	Define prognosis within these and following
	subgroups.
	Define genomic landscape of anatomical
	subgroups (gall bladder vs hilar CCA vs
	peripheral CCA) and their sensitivity/prognosis
	Identify molecular subgroups (e.g. HER-2 driven,
	IDH-1 related, BRCA driven).
	Identify longtitudinal variation in genomic
	landscape (biopsy on progression)
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	ICGC data on biliary tract cancer (Singapore
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	Mayo consortium ~60 genomes) soon to be
	available but not in the context of randomised
	treatment study. ICGC data will inform
	direction of interrogation. The proposed study
	will expand and further inform a currently
	neglected field.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No
turnaround-time for sample→clinical result?	
State necessary TAT	
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: No
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in	Yes
this cohort?	No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	No
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	3/patient 50 patients
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	
be proposed were used on this cohort?	70 (based on PILCAD rescuitment figures)
How many patients meeting this cohort	70 (based on BILCAP recruitment figures)
eligibility present in England peryear?	35
What number of patients of this cohort	55
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	

100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	As above
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	

Title (max 150 characters)GI05: Evolving genomic landscape as a determinant of the selective therapeut response of pancreatic cancer.	
· · · ·	
response of pancreatic cancer.	ic
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- Presentation and stage:	
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical (i) Borderline resectable (pre and post	
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics) neoadjuvant therapy –ESPAC-5F).	
(ii) Resectable.	
(iii) Advanced metastatic (ACELERATE tr	al).
Treatment:	
(i) Neoadjuvant: GemCap.	
(ii) Adjuvant: GemCap.	
Advanced: Gem, Gem protide (ACELERA	TE
trial).	
Samples per patient at first ascertainment Biopsy samples at first ascertainment. P	rimary
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites) tumour and metastatic lesion.	
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	
germline sample per patient.	
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying 3	
proposed)	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment Follow up samples: Blood DNA for germ	
recurrent and metastatic tumour sample	
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from Pancreatic tumours are heterogenous w	
this cohort of patients (brief) clones responding to treatment whilst o	
are resistant to particular chemotherapi	
is dependent on the specific genomic pr	
the clone. During treatment resistant clo will increase and sensitive clone will dec	
Monitoring the clonal populations accor	
genomic profile will allow the evaluation	-
relationship between specific population	
disease progression. Thereby, in future,	
enabling adaptive chemotherapy regime	
Because there will be patients from clini	

	trials we will be able to carry out multi-variate
	analysis to link outcomes to genotypes.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	 We will link the genome analysis to other ongoing research outputs relating to the same patients in order to characterise the changes in genomic profile of pancreatic tumours before and after therapy. This project will provide sequencing of : Biopsy samples from advanced cancer at the time of diagnosis pre-treatment and at disease progression (n=10). Biopsy samples pre and post neoadjuvant therapy (ESPAC-5F) (n=5). Biopsy samples at time of pancreatic resection, at recurrence and disease progression (n=20). This data will be compared to data acquired from matched circulating tumour cells analysis and relevant expression profiles (hENT1, CDA
	and DPD) carried out in Liverpool.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	Necessary TAT=six months.
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material?	At diagnosis: No Post diagnosis: No
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	All other analysis is taking place in Liverpool with other funding.
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	 Pre and post neoadjuvant therapy (ESPAC- 5F). Patients=5. WGS=25 Pancreatic resection, at recurrence and or disease progression. Patients=20. WGS=100 Advanced cancer at the time of diagnosis pre-treatment and at disease progression Patients=10. WGS=50. Total number of patients = 35. Total WGS=175.
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England peryear?	Total number of pancreatic cancer patients in England in 2013 was 7,887. Approximately 15% patients have resectable disease = 1183 patients. Approximately 5-10% patients have borderline resectable disease = 500 patients. Approximately 50-60% patients have metastatic disease = 4,000 patients
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent,	35

failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	ESPAC-5F Feasibility phase II, CR-UK funded. ACELERATE. Phase III. Commercial Nucana Biomed Ltd.
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	All other analysis is taking place in Liverpool with other funding.

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI06: Investigating role of radiotherapy in the post-operative setting in gastric cancer
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Patients with locally advanced gastric cancer undergoing surgery
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	Pre-treatment sample Main surgical sample (tumour and LNs)
It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	3
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	follow-up sample at relapse (metastases- peritoneal/liver)
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	determine the effects of neo-adjuvant therapies on cancer genomes, including their evolution, the identification of resistance to systemic and radiation treatment, identification of markers of metastasis EBV status and associated DNA hypermethylation
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	The adjuvant therapy of locally advanced gastric cancer has been investigated in successive clinical trials over the last four decades, however the role of adjuvant chemoradiation is still questioned in UK despite a 2013(Ohri) meta-analysis suggesting the addition of radiotherapy to surgery improved OS (HR=0.78(0.70-0.86)p<0.001. We aim to determine features that would indicate a higher risk of locoregional relapse that would aid selecting patients that would benefit from radiotherapy
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No

turnaround-time for sample \rightarrow clinical result?		
State necessary TAT		
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: No	
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: No	
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in	Very important. Relating ctDNA	
this cohort?	burden/mutation to response	
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	RNA-seq (inc. tumour stroma) very important.	
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.		
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?		
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment projection		
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	25 patients, 150WGS	
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it		
be proposed were used on this cohort?		
How many patients meeting this cohort	7000 cases/year ~1500/year having surgery	
eligibility present in England peryear?		
What number of patients of this cohort	10-15	
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to		
100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent,		
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical		
failure of samples)?		
Alignment to clinical trials		
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	No, however could align to trial	
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?		
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,		
geographic recruitment, remuneration).		
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study		
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this		
cohort eligibility?		
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No	

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI07: Investigating role of postoperative radiotherapy in extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder carcinoma (EHCC and GBCA)
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Patients undergoing radical resection for (EHCC and GBCA)
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	Pre-treatment sample
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites) It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	Main surgical sample (tumour and LNs)
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	3
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	follow-up sample at relapse (metastases- peritoneal/liver)

identification of markers of metastasis identification of genetic markers of poor prognosis
The benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation following curative resection has not been established. There is some evidence that adjuvant chemotherapy alone appears to benefit only patients with node positive disease, whilst adjuvant chemotherapy followed by radiation correlates with improved survival for all patients regardless of resection margin status (Hoehn 2015). There is prospective phase II data that systemic chemotherapy following by radiation is tolerated and produces high level of local control (Ben-Joseph SWOG S0809 2015). We aim to determine features that would that would aid selecting patients that would benefit from the additional radiotherapy.
No
At diagnosis: No Post diagnosis: No
Very important. Relating ctDNA burden/mutation to response
RNA-seq (inc. tumour stroma) very important.
ection
25 patients, 150WGS of each histological subtype
~1800 new patients/year in the UK>200 patients undergoing surgery
10-15
No, however could align to trial

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI08: Mutational evolution in resectable
	hepatocellular carcinoma
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Hepatocellular carcinoma
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	Defined as surgically resectable or appropriate
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	for transplantation according to specialist HPB MDT
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	(i) Primary tumour and background liver
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	sampled at time of resection or transplantation
	i. Samples from primary lesion (samples
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	from multifocal nodules where
germline sample per patient.	appropriate)
	ii. 5 cores per lesion
	(ii) Biopsy of metastatic lesion(s) at time of
	presentation +/- after systemic therapy
	i. 5 cores per lesion
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	As above
proposed)	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	As above
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	Characterise mutational evolution that
this cohort of patients (brief)	leads to disease progression
	Characterise mutational profile of patients
	who enjoy long term survival after
	resection/transplantation for HCC
	Identify profiles associated with reconnect(resistance to systemic therapy)
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	response/resistance to systemic therapy Although the mutational burden within
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	hepatocellular cancer is increasingly well
nom this conort (more details, as indicated)	characterised, less is known about the
	mutational evolution that occurs with
	progression from primary lesion to metastatic
	disease (both intra- and extra-hepatic).
	Sequencing studies in breast cancer have
	shown that metastases accumulate new
	mutations, raising the possibility that
	sequencing advanced disease in hepatocellular
	carcinoma may uncover additional mutations
	that are important therapeutic targets or
	prognostic indicators, and may shed light on
	the biological processes subverted in the
	metastatic step.
	Although surgical resection of hepatocellular
	carcinoma is associated with improved long-
	term survival, a significant proportion of
	patients experience rapid disease recurrence
	and may therefore derive no benefit from
	aggressive surgery. Existing methods of
	identifying patients in whom surgery is likely to

	offer the greatest survival benefit rely on relatively coarse histopathological features. Genomic profiling of patients will identify signatures associated with good long-term outcomes, improving patient stratification. Patients with progressive disease will be treated with loco-regional and systemic therapies. Assessment of recurrent lesions (including lesions that demonstrate response and those that do not) will allow the identification of profiles associated with treatment response. Direct comparison with background liver tissue will also identify potentially actionable pathways in the development of HCC in diseased liver.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	No
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material?	At diagnosis: No Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	Global proteomic analysis using iTRAQ
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	50 patients, 300 WGS
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	300
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	25
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	No
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 S	ubstudy)
Title (max 150 characters)	GI09: Mutational evolution in hepatocellular
	carcinoma
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Hepatocellular carcinoma
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	Defined as surgically resectable or appropriate
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	for transplantation according to specialist HPB MDT
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	(i) Primary tumour and background liver
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	sampled at time of resection or transplantation
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	 i. Samples from primary lesion (samples from multifocal nodules where
germline sample per patient.	appropriate)
germine sumple per patient.	ii. 5 cores per lesion
	(ii) Biopsy of metastatic lesion(s) at time of
	presentation +/- after systemic therapy
	i. 5 cores per lesion
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	As above
proposed)	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	As above
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	Characterise mutational evolution that
this cohort of patients (brief)	leads to disease progression
	Characterise mutational profile of patients
	who enjoy long term survival after
	resection/transplantation for HCC
	Identify profiles associated with
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	response/resistance to systemic therapy
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	Although the mutational burden within hepatocellular cancer is increasingly well
	characterised, less is known about the
	mutational evolution that occurs with
	progression from primary lesion to metastatic
	disease (both intra- and extra-hepatic).
	Sequencing studies in breast cancer have
	shown that metastases accumulate new
	mutations, raising the possibility that
	sequencing advanced disease in hepatocellular
	carcinoma may uncover additional mutations
	that are important therapeutic targets or
	prognostic indicators, and may shed light on
	the biological processes subverted in the metastatic step.
	Although surgical resection of hepatocellular
	carcinoma is associated with improved long-
	term survival, a significant proportion of
	patients experience rapid disease recurrence
	and may therefore derive no benefit from
	aggressive surgery. Existing methods of
	identifying patients in whom surgery is likely to
	offer the greatest survival benefit rely on
	relatively coarse histopathological features.

	Genomic profiling of patients will identify signatures associated with good long-term outcomes, improving patient stratification. Patients who develop recurrence after surgery will be treated with systemic therapy. Assessment of recurrent lesions (including lesions that demonstrate response and those that do not) will allow the identification of profiles associated with treatment response. Direct comparison with background liver tissue will also identify potentially actionable pathways in the development of HCC in diseased liver.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	No
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: No
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	Global proteomic analysis using iTRAQ
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	50 patients, 300 WGS
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	
be proposed were used on this cohort?	
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	300
What number of patients of this cohort	25
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	
100KGP per year (factoring in non-consent,	
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical	
failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	No
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?	
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	
geographic recruitment, remuneration).	
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study	
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this	
cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI10: Mutational evolution in metastatic
	cholangiocarcinoma

Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Intrahepatic/hilar cholangiocacrcinomas arising
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	without known risk factors (PSC, liver fluke
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	infestation etc.)
	Defined as surgically irresectable by specialist
	HPB MDT, either because of:
	1)Locally advanced disease
	2)Metastatic disease
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	(i) Primary tumour sampled at time of
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	presentation
	i. 5 cores per lesion
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	(ii) Biopsy of metastatic lesion(s) at time of
germline sample per patient.	presentation
	i. 5 cores per lesion
	(iii) Biopsy of metastatic lesion(s) after palliative
	therapy
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	As above
proposed)	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	As above
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	To elucidate molecular mechanisms underlying
this cohort of patients (brief)	carcinogenesis in the biliary tract.
	We know very little of the mutational evolution
	that contributes to disease progression. We
	therefore propose to generate complete
	catalogues of somatic mutations and copy
	number alterations in matched primary and
	metastatic cholangiocarcinoma.
	To guide patient selection for treatment based
	on genomic profile.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	Cholangiocarcinomas are aggressive
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	malignancies with dismal outcomes. Although
	limited data exists on the prevalence of genetic
	alterations in biliary tract cancer, these data
	rely on heterogenous groups often combining
	intrahepatic, extrahepatic and gallbladder
	cancer – now recognised as distinct biological
	entities, and rely on exome sequencing. There
	is therefore a paucity of data assessing whole
	genome sequencing, in particular looking at
	driver mutations and copy-number changes,
	malignant progression and clonal evolution as
	well as predicting response to therapy.
	Although we are beginning to define the
	mutational burden across a wide range of
	primary tumours, we still know very little about
	the mutational evolution that occurs with
	disease progression. Sequencing studies in
	breast cancer have shown that metastases
	accumulate new mutations, raising the
	possibility that sequencing advanced disease in
	other cancers will uncover additional mutations
	sener cancers win ancover additional mutations

	that may be important therapeutic targets or prognostic indicators. A detailed knowledge of the mutations enriched for in the evolution from primary cholangiocarcinoma to metastases may shed light on the biological processes subverted in this cancer.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	No
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material? Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	At diagnosis: No Post diagnosis: Yes No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	Global proteomic analysis using iTRAQ
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj How many patients do you plan to recruit in	
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	20 patients, 250 WGS
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	>500
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	10
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	No
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI11: Impact of therapy on molecular genetics
	of HCC
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	All patients with advanced HCC undergoing first
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	line systemic therapy.
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	2 cohorts
	1. standard of care sorafenib
	2. experimental first line therapy
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	1 primary tumour
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	

It is assumed that in addition there will be one	
germline sample per patient.	
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	1
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	1 follow up core
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	To determine the effect of first line therapy on
this cohort of patients (brief)	molecular evolution of HCC and mechanisms of resistance
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	To define predictive markers of response and
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	resistance to first line therapy.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No
turnaround-time for sample→clinical result?	
State necessary TAT	
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: Yes
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: No
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in	Yes at start of therapy and during follow up – 3
this cohort?	samples
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	No
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.	
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	50 before sorafenib and 50 before
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	experimental therapies
be proposed were used on this cohort?	
How many patients meeting this cohort	500
eligibility present in England peryear?	
What number of patients of this cohort	100
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	
100KGP per year (factoring in non-consent,	
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical	
failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	·
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	For cohort 2, patients will be receiving
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?	experimental therapy in the context of clinical
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	trials.
geographic recruitment, remuneration).	
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study	
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this	
cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No
,	I

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)		
Title (max 150 characters)	GI12: Genetics of high-grade neuroendocrine	
	tumours pre and post chemotherapy.	
Cohort details and scientific case		
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Metastatic high-grade neuroendocrine tumours	
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical	undergoing systemic chemotherapy.	
characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)		

Samples per patient at first ascertainment	1
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	
It is second that is addition there will be and	
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	
germline sample per patient.	2
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	3
proposed)	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	3 – if possible post systemic therapy
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	To determine the genetic evolution and
this cohort of patients (brief)	development of resistance to therapy in high-
	grade NETs and identification of novel
	therapeutic targets and pathways.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	NETs are relatively rare tumours with an
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	incidence of 5/100000 population. However,
	they have a higher prevalence than any other
	upper GI cancer at 30/100000. High-grade NETs
	(ki-67 >20%) have a very poor clinical outcome
	with the majority progressing after first line
	chmoetherapy within ~3 months. The median
	overall survival is around 6 months, making this
	NET subgroup a significant clinical area of
	unmet need.
	Exome and WGS has never been performed in
	this tumour type, therefore this study would be
	very informative regarding the pathogenesis of
	this NET subgroup. Also, factors leading to the
	development of resistance to chemotherapy
	have never been undertaken in high-grade
	NETs, which is the universally agreed first line
	treatment in this tumour type.
Logistical aspects	
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No
turnaround-time for sample→clinical result?	
State necessary TAT	
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: Yes
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: No
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in	Follow up with ctDNA is desirable, however it is
this cohort?	not essential. ctDNA will be taken pre-
	treatment, at 3 time points during treatment
	and post treatment. It would then be taken at 6
	weekly intervals during follow up until disease
	progression. Total – up to 10 ctDNA samples
	per patient.
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	RNA-seq and methylation analysis will
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.	performed on tissue samples and paid for
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	through other grant income. CTC analysis is
	possible in this patient cohort.
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	50 – all receiving systemic chemotherapy 150
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	WGS
be proposed were used on this cohort?	
1 1	1

How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	250
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	25
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	No
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	This is a possibility, however confirmation of funding for this trial is awaited.

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI13: Genetics of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours pre and post systemic therapy.
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics) Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	Locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) pre and post systemic therapy. 1
It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	
<pre># cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)</pre>	3
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	3 – if possible post systemic therapy To determine the genetic evolution and development of resistance to therapy in pancreatic NETs.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	NETs are relatively rare tumours with an incidence of 5/100000 population. However, they have a higher prevalence than any other upper GI cancer at 30/100000. Pancreatic NETs are known to harbour mutations in ATRX/DAXX (43%), Menin (44%) and mTOR pathway genes (14%). Tumours harbouring mutations in ATRX/DAXX at surgical resection are known to have a poorer clinical outcome in terms of PFS and OS. To date, it has not been determined whether tumours harbouring mutations in ATRX/DAXX have a higher incidence of developing resistance to systemic therapy (chemotherapy and molecularly targeted

	therapy) which is commonly used in this tumour type. Factors leading to the development of resistance to systemic therapy have never been undertaken in pancreatic NETs. also WGS has never been performed in this tumour type. Our group has previously undertaken integrated genomic analysis of pancreatic NETs and successfully sequenced mutations in ATRX/DAXX and other genes in ctDNA extracted from plasma of patients undergoing treatment. Therefore this study of paired WGS pre and post systemic therapy in pancreatic NETs will inform us for the first time on mechanisms of resistance to therapy in this tumour group. It will also determine whether tumours harbouring ATRX/DAXX mutations more commonly develop resistance to therapy.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	No
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material?	At diagnosis: Yes Post diagnosis: No
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	Follow up with ctDNA is desirable, however it is not essential. ctDNA will be taken pre- treatment, at 3 time points during treatment and post treatment. It would then be taken at 6 weekly intervals during follow up until disease progression. Total – up to 10 ctDNA samples per patient.
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	RNA-seq and methylation analysis will
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	performed on tissue samples and paid for through other grant income. CTC analysis is possible in this patient cohort.
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment projection	

Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment projection	
How many patients do you plan to recruit in total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	60 (20 chemotherapy, 20 sunitinib therapy and 20 everolimus therapy) Total: 400 – 480 (dependent on availability of multi-regional biopsies)
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England peryear?	Approx 300
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	30
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	No – clinical trials have previously been completed in chemotherapy, sunitinib and everolimus therapy).

geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI14: Investigation of circulating predictive biomarkers in gastric adenocarcinoma.
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Eligible patients: Patients with locally advanced or metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma undergoing palliative chemotherapy. Tumour location: Fundus/body/distal stomach, excluding Siewert type 3/Gastro-oesophageal junction tumours Treatment: Cisplatin-based palliative chemotherapy Clinical characteristics: Diffuse and intestinal subtypes patients with locally advanced/metastatic disease
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites) It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	Pre-chemotherapy samples: 1 X endoscopic biopsies at diagnostic OGD from different tumour regions.
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	1
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	Biopsy of recurrent/progressive disease: 1 X biopsy of any accessible areas of recurrent/progressive disease (e.g. liver metastases)
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	To identify mutational signatures predictive of benefit to palliative chemotherapy in tumour tissue and blood in gastric adenocarcinoma.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) has identified four molecular subtypes of gastric adenocarcinoma: Epstein-Barr virus associated, Microsatellite Unstable (MSI), Genomically stable and those exhibiting Chromosomal Instability (CIN). However, the role of these subgroups in prognosis and response to therapy has yet to be defined. We propose to sequence a cohort of gastric adenocarcinomas in order to define their molecular subgroup and collate this information with clinical data regarding response to chemotherapy. At present, approximately 45% of patients respond to standard cisplatin-based triplet therapy but it is unclear which molecular

r1	
	subgroups are more chemo-sensitive. We
	propose to identify a mutational signature
	capable of predicting sensitivity to
	chemotherapy which may be used to select
	patients for treatment.
	A further challenge is that many patients in the
	advanced setting are diagnosed using small
	volume, endoscopic biopsies, unsuitable for
	next generation sequencing techniques.
	Alongside the collection of tumour tissue we
	will store whole blood and plasm for the
	isolation and characterisation of circulating
	tumour DNA (ctDNA) and exosomes. We will
	seek to detect the mutational signatures
	developed in sequencing the tumour tissues in
	circulating exosomal DNA or ctDNA. In this way
	we will develop a non-invasive blood-based
	biomarker assay to be used to select the most
	effective therapy for advanced gastric
	adenocarcinoma patients.

Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	No
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material?	At diagnosis: Yes Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	Yes, collection of plasma/ whole blood for ctDNA and exosomal DNA is an essential part of this project. Whole blood/plasma to be collected during chemotherapy and follow-up
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	Targeted sequencing/analysis of ctDNA/exoDNA. Exploratory RNAseq may be carried out.
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proje	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	In order to represent all of the molecular subtypes adequately we would require 300 patients. For profiling of the tumour tissue only would require 300 WGS. A targeted sequencing panel would be used for ctDNA/exoDNA analysis.
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England peryear?	5,600 cases/year ~2500/year are suitable for palliative chemotherapy
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	30-40
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	Not currently aligned to a clinical trial

geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI15: Mutational evolution in synchronous stage 4 metastatic colorectal cancer
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	 Synchronous presentation of liver-limited stage 4 colorectal cancer Deemed suitable for surgical resection by specialist MDT
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	Primary colorectal tumour sampled endoscopically at time of diagnosis and prior to neoadjuvant therapy
It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	 i. Multi-site sampling of primary specimen after resection (5 cores) ii. Multi-site sampling of liver metastasis(es) after resection (5 cores)
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	As above
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	Biopsy of recurrent lesions after surgery (approx. 2/3rds ptnts @ 24 months)
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	 Characterise mutational evolution that leads to disease progression Characterise mutational profile of patients who enjoy long term survival after resection of stage 4 CRC Identify profiles associated with response/resistance to systemic therapy
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	Although surgical resection of liver-limited metastatic colorectal cancer is associated with improved long-term survival, a significant proportion of patients experience rapid disease recurrence and may therefore derive no benefit from aggressive surgery. Existing methods of identifying patients in whom surgery is likely to offer the greatest survival benefit rely on coarse histopathological features. Genomic profiling of patients will identify signatures associated with good long-term outcomes, improving patient stratification. Direct comparison with genomic information from primary colorectal lesions (which are easily accessible at time of diagnosis by endoscopy) will improve clinical utility of these findings. Although the mutational burden within colorectal cancer is increasingly well

	characterised, less is known about the mutational evolution that occurs with progression from primary tumour to metastatic disease (both oligometastatic and polymetastatic states). Sequencing studies in breast cancer have shown that metastases accumulate new mutations, raising the possibility that sequencing advanced disease in colorectal cancer may uncover additional mutations that are important therapeutic targets or prognostic indicators, and may shed light on the biological processes subverted in the metastatic step. Patients who develop recurrence after surgery will be treated with systemic therapy. Assessment of recurrent lesions (including lesions that demonstrate response and those that do not) will allow the identification of profiles associated with treatment response
	profiles associated with treatment response.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No
turnaround-time for sample→clinical result?	
State necessary TAT	At diagnosic: No
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic biopsy material?	At diagnosis: No Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in	No
this cohort?	
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	Global proteomic analysis using iTRAQ
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.	
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proj	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	50 patients, 300 WGS
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	
be proposed were used on this cohort?	
How many patients meeting this cohort	800
eligibility present in England peryear?	
What number of patients of this cohort	20
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	
100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent,	
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical	
failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	No
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?	
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration).	
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study	
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this	
cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No
L · · ·	

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)		
Title (max 150 characters)	GI16: Investigation of the genomic evolution	
	and mechanisms of cisplatin resistance in	
	gastric adenocarcinoma	
Cohort details and scientific case		
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub-	Patients with localised gastric adenocarcinoma	
type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	undergoing neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgical resection.	
	Tumour location: Fundus/body/distal stomach,	
	excluding Siewert type 3/Gastro-oesophageal	
	junction tumours	
	Treatment: Cisplatin-based neo-adjuvant	
	chemotherapy	
	Clinical characteristics: Diffuse and intestinal	
	subtypes patients with operable disease	
Samples per patient at first ascertainment	Pre-chemotherapy samples: 3 X endoscopic biopsies at diagnostic OGD or staging	
(primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	laparoscopy from different tumour regions. 1X	
It is assumed that in addition there will be one	endoscopic biopsy from normal gastric mucosa	
germline sample per patient.	and 1 X endoscopic biopsy from any areas of	
	intestinal metaplasia.	
	Post-chemotherapy samples: 3 X biopsies from	
	different areas of the resected tumour tissue.	
	1X biopsy from normal gastric mucosa and 1 X	
	biopsy from any areas of intestinal metaplasia.	
	Biopsy of recurrent disease: 1 X biopsy of any accessible areas of recurrent disease (e.g. liver	
	metastases)	
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying proposed)	As above	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	As above	
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	To track the genomic evolution of gastric	
this cohort of patients (brief)	adenocarcinoma during neo-adjuvant	
	chemotherapy in order to identify drivers of	
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	cisplatin resistance. The molecular events driving resistance to	
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	chemotherapy in gastric adenocarcinoma are	
	poorly understood but primary and acquired	
	drug resistance are major determinants of	
	clinical outcome. By analysing tissue collected	
	before and after neo-adjuvant chemotherapy	
	we will track the genomic evolution of the	
	tumours in response to the selective	
	evolutionary pressure of neo-adjuvant treatment. The identification of driver	
	mutations and amplifications maintained	
	throughout neo-adjuvant chemotherapy has	
	the potential to reveal novel therapeutic	
	vulnerabilities in gastric adenocarcinoma. The	
	molecular sub-classification of gastric	
	adenocarcinoma performed by The Cancer	

	Genome Atlas has led to insights into the various molecular sub-groups of gastric cancer. However, these groups have not been related to response to therapy or clinical outcome. We seek to identify these molecular subtypes and examine their inherent chemo-sensitivity or resistance to neo-adjuvant treatment. The collection of pre- and post-chemotherapy tissue will allow us to monitor the molecular changes in each group and to identify resistance mechanisms specific to each biology. This work will lead to the identification of drug targets and predictive biomarkers aligned to the molecular classification and will advance revolutionary treatment strategies.
	revolutionary treatment strategies.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular turnaround-time for sample→clinical result? State necessary TAT	Νο
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: Yes
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	An integrated genomic approach incorporating
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.	RNA-seq (inc. tumour stroma) and methylation
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	status would be desirable.
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proje	ection
How many patients do you plan to recruit in	In order to represent all of the molecular
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	subtypes adequately we would require 50
be proposed were used on this cohort?	patients. For profiling of the tumour tissue only
	this would require 300 WGS
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	5,600 cases/year ~1500/year having surgery
What number of patients of this cohort	10-15
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	
100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent,	
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical	
failure of samples)?	
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	Not currently aligned to a clinical trial
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?	
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,	
geographic recruitment, remuneration).	
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study	
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No
is this sub-study a new therapeutic that?	INU

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI17: Modelling the progression from infection and inflammation to gastric cancer
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Eligible patients: a) Patients with local gastric adenocarcinoma. Tumour location: Fundus/body/distal stomach, excluding Siewert type 3/Gastro-oesophageal junction tumours Treatment: curative intent (surgery +- neoadjuvant chemotherapy) Clinical characteristics: a representative sample of the population will be derived ie those with Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV), (~10%), and/or Helicobacter Pylori (H. Pylori) (~33%), and/or atrophic gastritis (both autoimmune- and H. Pylori-related). Clinical follow-up post- treatment. b) Patients with i) Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV), ii) Helicobacter Pylori (H. Pylori) iii) atrophic gastritis (both autoimmune- and H. Pylori- related) Clinical characteristics: a representative sample of the population will be derived. Clinical follow-up with respect to progression of
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites) It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	 gastritis/gastric cancer. a) Pre-treatment samples: 1 biopsy each from primary site and normal mucosa (plus 1 from gastritis site if present) b) EBV/H Pylori/Gastritis samples: 1 biopsy each from normal mucosa (plus 1 from
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	gastritis site if present) As above
proposed) Follow-up samples following first ascertainment Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from this cohort of patients (brief)	As above To identify gene pathways and processes over- represented in progression from infection to inflammation to gastric cancer, with the objective of patient stratification for early intervention and drug targeting.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	We propose to sequence a cohort of gastric adenocarcinomas to facilitate molecular subtyping and compare with a complimentary subtyping of EBV, H Pylori and gastritis patients. Given that EBV and H Pylori infections are present in almost 45% of gastric cancer patients, we wish to identify pathways of progression from infection and inflammatory disease in order to identify at-risk patients for intervention treatment, while considering drug repurposing.

Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No	
turnaround-time for sample→clinical result?		
State necessary TAT		
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: Yes	
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes	
Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	No	
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the	RNA-seq and methylation.	
tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g.	NNA-seq and methylation.	
RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?		
	action	
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment proju- How many patients do you plan to recruit in	300 patients	
total to this cohort? How many WGS would it	Soo patients	
be proposed were used on this cohort?		
How many patients meeting this cohort	~7,000 per year (gastric cancer)	
eligibility present in England per year?	H Pylori is present in \sim 40% of poulation	
	EBV is present in \sim 90% of population	
What number of patients of this cohort	30-40	
eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to	50 +0	
100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent,		
failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical		
failure of samples)?		
Alignment to clinical trials		
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to	No	
an existing clinical trial/sample collection study?		
Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner,		
geographic recruitment, remuneration).		
Is co-recruitment to this trial/study		
optional/mandatory for recruitment to this		
cohort eligibility?		
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No	

Full proposal (total max 1500 words per Gear 2 Substudy)	
Title (max 150 characters)	GI18: Gastric cancer profiling across space and
	time
Cohort details and scientific case	
Cohort eligibility definition (disease type, sub- type, presentation, stage, treatment, clinical characteristics, epidemiological characteristics)	Diffuse or intestinal pattern gastric cancer of fundus/body/distal stomach excluding GOJ. Any stage with patient suitable for endoscopic biopsy of primary tumour +- biopsy of metastasis and/or recurrent disease at a single or multiple time points. Cohort will consist of 2 sub-classifications. Cohort 1: patients treated with curative intent (surgery +- neoadjuvant chemotherapy) and followed over time with biopsy and sequencing of recurrent disease. Cohort 2: patients with advanced/metastatic disease who will have

	multiple biopsies taken for sequencing from all involved and accessible disease sites at presentation and further biopsy/sequencing of
	new disease as it occurs.
Samples per patient at first ascertainment (primary tumour, LNs, metastatic sites)	Cohort 1: 3 x endoscopic biopsies at diagnostic OGD from different tumour regions (can be at time of staging laparoscopy) followed by 3 x
It is assumed that in addition there will be one germline sample per patient.	biopsies (8mm punch) from resected tumour specimen at the time of surgery (additional samples for 'omics analysis would be very helpful).
	Cohort 2: 3 x endoscopic biopsies at diagnostic OGD from different tumour regions (can be at
	time of staging laparoscopy) + biopsy of
	metastatic disease from multiple sites (up to 5)
	preferably taken as excision biopsies if peritoneal disease or visible lesions at staging
	lap. Biopsy of new disease during follow-up
	(additional samples for 'omics analysis would
	be helpful).
# cores per tumour (if multi-region biopsying	As above
proposed)	
Follow-up samples following first ascertainment	As above
Purpose of analysis WGS and clinical data from	To understand the clonal dynamics and
this cohort of patients (brief)	evolution of disease recurrence/metastasis
	with reference to primary tumour
	heterogeneity and anti-cancer therapy.
Scientific case and insights that will be gained	A molecular genetic classification of primary
from this cohort (more details, as indicated)	gastric cancer has recently been proposed but
	requires validation in a clinical context. In
	addition, although some data exists on the
	clonal structure and molecular progression of
	these cancers, very little whole genome
	sequencing data are available and basic knowledge of the evolution of recurrent and
	metastatic disease is lacking. To allow the
	rational design and delivery of new and
	urgently required novel therapies information
	regarding driver mutations, copy number
	changes, clonal dynamics, response to therapy,
	metastatic evolution and the causes of
	malignant progression are required. By
	investigating advanced, metastatic and
	recurrent gastric cancer over space and time
	we will gain insight into these processes.
Is this sub-study dependent on a particular	No
turnaround-time for sample \rightarrow clinical result?	
State necessary TAT	
Is this sub-study dependent on using diagnostic	At diagnosis: Yes
biopsy material?	Post diagnosis: Yes

Is follow-up with ctDNA important/essential in this cohort?	No
Is any other type of molecular analysis of the tumour proposed as part of study of this (e.g. RNA- seq, methylation analysis)?	RNA-seq (inc. tumour stroma) and epigenetic profiling would be highly desirable.
Numbers of WGS proposed and recruitment projection	
How many patients do you plan to recruit in total to this cohort? How many WGS would it be proposed were used on this cohort?	60 patients total (30 in each arm)
How many patients meeting this cohort eligibility present in England per year?	Several hundred
What number of patients of this cohort eligibility do you anticipate recruiting to 100KGP peryear (factoring in non-consent, failure to obtain appropriate samples, technical failure of samples)?	25
Alignment to clinical trials	
Is it proposed that this sub-study is aligned to an existing clinical trial/sample collection study? Study details (incl Phase, commercial partner, geographic recruitment, remuneration). Is co-recruitment to this trial/study optional/mandatory for recruitment to this cohort eligibility?	No
Is this sub-study a new therapeutic trial?	No

Data and informatics requirements

All GeCIP Domains have contributed to the construction of the data model for their tumour type and have contributed to ongoing efforts within Genomics England to develop the clinical and research informatics infrastructure.

Data access and secu	,
GeCIP domain name	Upper gastrointestinal cancer
Project title	Upper gastrointestinal cancer research in the 100,000 Genomes Project
(max 150 characters)	
•• •	Uses. Tick all those relevant to the request and ensure that the justification
, ,	otable use is supported above.
X Clinical care	
X Clinical trials feasibil	lity
X Deeper phenotyping	
X Education and traini	ng of health and public health professionals
$m{X}$ Hypothesis driven research and development in health and social care - observational	
X Hypothesis driven re	search and development in health and social care - interventional
X Interpretation and v	alidation of the Genomics England Knowledge Base
X Non hypothesis drive	en R&D - health
X Non hypothesis drive	en R&D - non health
X Other health use - cl	inical audit
X Public health purpos	es
X Tool evaluation and	improvement
Information Governan	ce
X The lead for each do	main will be responsible for validating and assuring the identity of the
researchers. The lead	may be required to support assurance and audit activities by Genomics
England.	
Any research requiring	access to the embassy will be required to complete IG Training and read
and sign a declaration f	orm. Access will only be granted once these requirements have been met.